

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,288	09/28/2005	George A. Brown	101.0146	1537
50258 SCHLUMBER	7590 05/01/200 RGER TECHNOLOGY	EXAMINER		
14910 AIRLINE ROAD			THOMPSON, KENNETH L	
ROSHARON, TX 77583			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/551,288	BROWN, GEORG	SE A.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kenneth Thompson	3672	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on Period for Reply	the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	FTHIS COMMUNICATION. to event, however, may a reply be timely filed				
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 March 20	<u>008</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action	is non-final.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc 	·				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted o	r b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_ '	quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have I 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have I					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu	•				
application from the International Bureau (PCT) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the c	. "				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the C	entified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (P10-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:				
	o,				

31	Paper No(s)/Mail
	tent and Trademark Office 326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) and a submission, filed on 21 March 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson, U.S. 4,832,121.

Anderson discloses a shutting in after an initial injection (col. 8, lines 2-10) the formation (14) intersected by a wellbore (10), monitoring temperature with sensors (28) positioned above and within the formation; and injecting a subsequent treatment as the increased temperature formation fluid enters the wellbore while monitoring movement of the injection fluid in real time (col. 5, lines 10-16). Anderson discloses logging the temperature versus depth characteristics of the formation over time as an indication of the rate increased fluid travel (col. 7, lines 26-31) downhole. Anderson discloses shutting in after an injection to determine fracture volume profile as a result of the temperature profile reaching equilibrium (col. 7, lines 43-47).

Art Unit: 3672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson, U.S. 4,832,121 in view of Williams et la., U.S. 6,497,279.

Anderson discloses all the claimed limitations except for the use of fiber optics to determine temperature. Williams et al. teaches use of fiber optics to measure downhole temperatures. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute one known sensor for another to yield a predictable result.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 21 March 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue the prior art does not disclose a method for monitoring temperature during and after well treatment.

The prior art discloses column 1, lines 8-18 monitoring in real time changes in temperature during fracture treatment.

Applicants argue the prior art does not disclose that repeated fracturing pulses are started in response to observation of a peaked temperature fluid in a section of the wellbore that is uphole of the fracture and then, while re-starting of injection is occurring.

Art Unit: 3672

observing the movement of the peaked temperature fluid as it moves from the uphole section and along the formation section of the wellbore. Rather, in Anderson, while injection is occurring, any peaked temperature fluid moves in the opposite direction to that which is recited in claim 1.

The prior art discloses the time - temperature profile includes areas uphole and downhole from the formation and a processing system responsive to peak temperature to control pumping stages.

Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3672

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Thompson whose telephone number is 571 272-7037. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 am - 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

28 April 2008

/Kenneth Thompson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672